

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Application Form

Important points for completing this application form:

- ◆ **This application form must be used in conjunction with the Targeted Runoff Management Grant Instructions (Instructions).**
- ◆ The application form must be neat and legible.
- ◆ Any attachments must be identified by page number, question number and project name in the upper right corner of each page.

**Targeted Runoff Management Program (TRM)
Grant Application**

Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)

Page 1 of ____

Notice: Application is hereby made to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Watershed Management for grant assistance consistent with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats., and Chapter NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Collection of this information is authorized under the authority of s. 281.66, Wis. Stats. The information contained in this form will be used for program budget analysis and project evaluation in the Urban Nonpoint Source Water Pollution Abatement and Storm Water Management Grant Program. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.]. *Unless otherwise noted, all citations refer to Wisconsin Administrative Code.*

Instructions: Complete all sections as applicable.

Applicant Information

Governmental Unit Applying: (name & type) (example: Madison, Town of)

Name of Authorized Representative (First Last)			Name of Governmental Contact Person (First Last) (if different)		
Title			Title		
Area Code + Telephone Number			Area Code + Telephone Number		
Area Code + Fax Number			Area Code + Fax Number		
E-Mail Address			E-Mail Address		
Mailing Address - Street or Route			Mailing Address - Street or Route		
City	State	Zip Code	City	State	Zip Code

Consulting Firm Name (if applicable)

Consulting Contact Person Name

Title

Area Code + Telephone Number			DNR Use Only
Area Code + Fax Number			
E-Mail Address			
Mailing Address - Street or Route			
City	State	Zip Code	

Project Information

A. Project Name

B. Location of Project Area

County	Town (N)	Range (E/W)	Section	Quarter	Quarter/Quarter

TRM Grant Project Name

Project Information (continued)

C. Project Summary

D. Watershed

Watershed Name (see Attachment A)	Watershed Code (see Attachment A)	Primary Waterbody
---	---	-------------------

Note: If the project is in more than one watershed, submit a separate application for each watershed, unless this application is for a high-efficiency street sweeper.

Yes No NA

E. Pro-rating for Existing versus New Development for Urban Projects

☐ ☐ ☐ Project will serve existing development only. If no, provide attachments and the following:

100%

 Percentage of design volume from existing development. (change default % if necessary)

F. Request for Funding of Land Acquisition or Easements

☐ ☐ ☐ Requesting funding for either land acquisition or purchase of easements as part of this application to support eligible best management practices (BMPs). If yes, attach the property acquisition proposal, as defined in **Attachment B**, to the completed application form.

G. Request for Retroactive Funding for Design Costs

☐ ☐ ☐ Requesting reimbursement for design costs that have been or will be incurred before issuance of the grant. See Instructions for required design approval process.

H. Endangered and Threatened Resources, Historic Properties and Wetlands

Check "Yes" for any of the following you know to occur where the project disturbs land:

- ☐ ☐ ☐ 1. There are endangered or threatened resources, as identified in s. 29.604, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 27 in the project area.
- ☐ ☐ ☐ 2. There are archaeological sites, historical structures, burial sites, or other historic places identified in s. 44.45, Wis. Stats., in the project area.
- ☐ ☐ ☐ 3. There are wetlands in the project area that are governed by water quality standard provisions of ch. NR 103 and for which mitigating measures should be taken to minimize the impacts.

I. Alternative Funding Possibility (urban projects only)

☐ ☐ ☐ This applicant requests that the DNR also submit a copy of this application to the Clean Water Fund loan program.

J. Project Target (check one)

- ☐ ☐ ☐ 1. The project will control agricultural runoff.
- ☐ ☐ ☐ 2. The project will control urban runoff.

TRM Grant Project Name

Part I. Screening Requirements

Yes No A. Map
☐ ☐ A map is attached.

B. Best Management Practices (BMPs) For Which Funding Is Requested (check all that apply)

<u>Practice</u>	<u>Wis. Adm. Code</u>	<u>Practice</u>	<u>Wis. Adm. Code</u>
BMPs:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Manure Storage Systems	NR 154.04(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Riparian Buffers	NR 154.04(25)
<input type="checkbox"/> Manure Storage System Closure	NR 154.04(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Roofs	NR 154.04(26)
<input type="checkbox"/> Barnyard Runoff Control Systems	NR 154.04(5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Roof Runoff Systems	NR 154.04(27)
<input type="checkbox"/> Access Roads & Cattle Crossings	NR 154.04(6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Basins	NR 154.04(28)
<input type="checkbox"/> Animal Trails and Walkways	NR 154.04(7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shoreline Habitat Restoration for Developed Areas	NR 154.04(29)
<input type="checkbox"/> Critical Area Stabilization	NR 154.04(10)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sinkhole Treatment	NR 154.04(30)
<input type="checkbox"/> Diversions	NR 154.04(11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Subsurface Drains	NR 154.04(33)
<input type="checkbox"/> Field Windbreaks	NR 154.04(12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Terrace Systems	NR 154.04(34)
<input type="checkbox"/> Filter Strips	NR 154.04(13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Underground Outlets	NR 154.04(35)
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade Stabilization	NR 154.04(14)	<input type="checkbox"/> Waste Transfer Systems	NR 154.04(36)
<input type="checkbox"/> Heavy Use Area Protection	NR 154.04(15)	<input type="checkbox"/> Wastewater Treatment Strips	NR 154.04(37)
<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Sediment Treatment	NR 154.04(16)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water and Sediment Control Basins	NR 154.04(38)
<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock Fencing	NR 154.04(17)	<input type="checkbox"/> Waterway Systems	NR 154.04(39)
<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock Watering Facilities	NR 154.04(18)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Milking Center Waste Ctrl Sys.	NR 154.04(19)	<input type="checkbox"/> Well Decommissioning	NR 154.04(40)
<input type="checkbox"/> Prescribed Grazing	NR 154.04(22)	<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Development or Restoration	NR 154.04(41)
<input type="checkbox"/> Relocating or Abandoning Animal Feeding Operations	NR 154.04(23)		

Streambank and Shoreline Protection: NR 154.04(31)
(includes associated fencing)

<input type="checkbox"/> Detention Basin	<input type="checkbox"/> Stream Crossing
<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Basin	<input type="checkbox"/> Streambank/Shoreline Rip-rapping
<input type="checkbox"/> Filtration Practice	<input type="checkbox"/> Streambank/Shoreline Shaping & Seeding
<input type="checkbox"/> Infiltration Practice	<input type="checkbox"/> Streambank/Shoreline Fencing
<input type="checkbox"/> Land Acquisition	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Streambank/Shoreline Protection (incl. bio-engineering) - specify below
<input type="checkbox"/> Easement	
<input type="checkbox"/> Accelerated or High-efficiency Street Sweeping System	

☐ Other (specify)

TRM Grant Project Name

Part I. Screening Requirements (continued)

C. Filters

Note: You must be able to answer "Yes" or "N/A" (Not Applicable) to each of the following to be eligible for a grant.

Yes	No	N/A							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. Project will be completed within 24 months of the start of the grant period.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. Staff and contractors designated to work on this project have adequate training, knowledge, and experience to implement the proposed project.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Staff or contractual services, in addition to those funded by this grant, will be provided if needed.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. Best management practices constructed under this grant will not work at cross-purposes to (are consistent with) agricultural and non-agricultural performance standards under ch. NR 151. (see Attachment E)						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. The local DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator (see Attachment C) has been contacted about this project:						
			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name of the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator contacted</th> <th>Date contacted</th> <th>Subject of contact</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Name of the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator contacted	Date contacted	Subject of contact			
Name of the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator contacted	Date contacted	Subject of contact							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. If this is an application to construct ponds in navigable streams or in wetlands, the necessary waterway or wetland permit (chs. 30 or 281, Wis. Stats.) has been received. If yes, give the docket number and date of issuance.						
			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Docket Number</th> <th>Date of Issuance</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Docket Number	Date of Issuance				
Docket Number	Date of Issuance								

If you answered "No" to any of the above, stop here. This project is ineligible.

D. Eligibility: Reason For Controlling Nonpoint Source Pollution In The Target Area (check all that apply)

Yes	No	N/A	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. The need for compliance with performance standards established by the DNR in ch. NR 151.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. The existence of nonpoint-source-impaired water bodies that the DNR has identified to the U.S. EPA under 33 USC 1313 (d)(1) (A), commonly referred to as the "303(d) List."
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. The existence of outstanding or exceptional resource waters, as designated by the DNR in ss. NR 102.10 and NR 102.11.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. Other water quality concerns of statewide or national significance. (Important: You may only check this box, if you are eligible to score 10 points in Part II, Question #4 "Basin Priorities" of this application.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. The existence of threats to public health.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. The existence of an animal feeding operation that has received a notice of discharge (NOD) under ch. NR 243 or a notice of intent (NOI) to issue a notice of discharge.

If you answered "Yes" to at least one of the items in question D, continue to Part II. Otherwise stop here, the project is ineligible.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)

TRM Grant Project Name

Question 1. Fiscal Accountability

For each applicable milestone listed below, fill in the appropriate data:

The grant amount is capped at \$150,000.

A	B	C
Project Activity	Estimated Total Cost (\$)	Amount from Column B Eligible for Cost Sharing (\$)
Construction Components:		
1. Construction Subtotal	\$	\$
2. Design	0	0
3. Storm Sewer Reroute (Urban projects only)	0	0
4. Structure Removal (Urban projects only)	0	0
5. Subtotal [add rows 1-4]	\$	\$
6. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement	0	0
7. Grand Total [add rows 5 & 6]	\$	\$

TRM Grant Application

Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Cost-Sharing Worksheet

Cost-Sharing Rate Reference Table:

	Agricultural	Urban
Construction, design & engineering	70%	70%
Land purchase (fee title)	50%	50%
Easement purchase	70%	50%
Storm sewer rerouting/Structure removal	-	50%
Economic hardship (<u>Maximum</u> rate)*	90%	-

*Note: If you use a rate that is greater than 70%, you are required to submit an economic hardship determination letter before the grant is signed.

Eligible Costs:

Multiply the gross eligible costs (column C) by the percent for proration (if applicable), and the applicable cost-share rate, and enter the result in the column on the right.

		Prorate %	Cost-Share %	
8. Construction, design, etc. (if other percent, specify)		100%	70%	\$ 0
Costs Specific to Agricultural Projects:				
9. Land Purchase (Fee Title)	\$	-	50%	\$ 0
10. Agricultural Easements	\$	-	70%	\$ 0
Costs Specific to Urban Projects:				
11. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement	\$	100%	50%	\$ 0
12. Storm Sewer Rerouting		100%	50%	\$ 0
13. Structural Removal		100%	50%	\$ 0
14. Total Eligible Costs [sum (8) through (13)]				\$ 0
Cap Test:				
15. Maximum State Share [Lesser of (14) or \$150,000]				\$ 0
State & Local Share:				
16. Requested State-Share Amount (=Requested Grant Amount)				\$
17. Local-Share Amount [(7), column B less (16)]				\$ 0

Local-Share Source(s)

Method(s) Used to Calculate Cost Estimates

C. Cost-Effectiveness

1. Tangible Benefits

a. Primary Benefit:

List the pollutants to be controlled from the project.

b. Secondary Benefits:

Which of the following secondary benefits will be achieved by implementing this project? (check all that apply)

☐ Fish and wildlife habitat enhancement

☐ Enhancements to recreation

☐ Public safety

☐ Economical operation, economical maintenance and enhanced life expectancy of the BMP

☐ Other (specify):

TRM Grant Project Name

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

2. Pollution Control
Explain why the proposed project will effectively control nonpoint source pollution for a reasonable cost.

Yes
☐

No
☐

3. Alternatives
a. There is more than one way to achieve the benefits checked above. If no, go to part b.
1) If yes, complete the following table with information for the alternative you have chosen and one or two other alternatives. Note that the table requires information about the cost and pollutant load/potential reductions.

Alternatives Analysis				
	A	B	C	D
	Alternative	Cost	Effectiveness	(B ÷ C) Cost-Effectiveness
		Estimated Amount	Estimated % of Pollutant Load Reduction	
1		\$	%	
2		\$	%	
3		\$	%	

- 2) If you are not choosing the alternative with the lowest ratio of cost to pollutant load/potential reductions, explain why it was not chosen in terms of any of the following: feasibility, secondary benefits potential, or other mitigating factors.

- b. If **no**, explain why there is no other reasonable alternative to achieve the reduction in pollutant loading/potential or the secondary benefits checked above.

TRM Grant Project Name

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Question 2. Project Evaluation Strategy

Pre- and post-project evaluation measures that the applicant will use to ensure success in meeting project goals.

Yes No A. Modeling & Measures of Change

☐

☐

1. The project evaluation strategy will be based on comparing pre- and post-project changes in modeled pollutant loading to water resources or will be based on the quantity of units managed.

If "Yes," check all that apply in the table below)

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

☐

State Priority Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Recommended Measurement Method
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T	RUSLE-2 or wind erosion model
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities	count
	Number of animal units	count
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities	count
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities	count
	Number of animal units	count
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model
	Number of farms with diversions	count
	Number animal units	count
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned	count
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities	count
	Number of animal units	count
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms	count
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model
	Number of facilities	count
	Number of animal units	count
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected	count
	Number of farms	count
Local Priority for Agricultural Area		
Buffers	Feet of bank protected	CREP formula
	Number of farms	count
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula
	Feet of bank protected	count
Other (specify)		
Local Priority for Developed Urban Area		
20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	SLAMM, P-8
	% TSS reduction	
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume	Recarga, SLAMM, P-8
	Cubic feet stay-on volume	
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second	TR-55 or equivalent
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected	count
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence	visual assessment
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula
	Feet of bank protected	count
Other (specify)		

Yes No

☐

☐

2. The applicant agrees to provide a description of the modeled results or changes in pollution potential in the final project report.

B. Monitoring (not eligible for cost sharing at this time)

TRM Grant Project Name

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

- The project evaluation strategy will provide pre- and post-project information from water quality monitoring. (check all that apply)
- ☐ ☐ 1. The project will evaluate the physical habitat, fisheries, or biological conditions (including temperature and coliform bacteria).
- ☐ ☐ 2. The project will include chemical monitoring.
- ☐ ☐ 3. The required monitoring plan, which was discussed with the Regional NPS Coordinator, is attached.
- C. Additional Monitoring
- ☐ ☐ The applicant is willing to participate with the Department to do monitoring in the project area should funding become available.

Question 3. Evidence of Local Support

Yes No The level of Local Support that currently exists for the proposed project.

Agricultural Projects:

A. Government

1. Regulatory Situations. If yes to both items (a & b) below, check the boxes and go to Question 4.
- ☐ ☐ a. At least 75% of the total project cost is attributed to the resolution of a Notice of Discharge (NOD) under ch. NR 243 or non-compliance with agricultural performance standards and prohibitions under subch. II of NR 151.
- AND
- ☐ ☐ b. At least one of the following is attached to this application form:
- copy of the Notice of Intent (NOI) to Issue an NOD, or
 - copy of the NOD, or
 - copy of letter signed by DNR and the county that a notice, under s. NR 151.09 or 151.095, will be issued if necessary.
- OR
2. Non-Regulatory Situations.
- ☐ ☐ a. The governmental unit has developed:
- i. a detailed pollution control plan with the landowners that identifies specific best management practices (BMPs).
- OR
- ☐ ☐ ii. general assessments of the pollution sources within the project area.
- ☐ ☐ b. The governmental unit has contacted the landowner(s)/land operator(s) about the proposed BMP installations.
-
- If yes, provide details.

Yes No B. Landowners

1. Level of Participation
- ☐ ☐ a. A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have specifically indicated that they will sign a cost-share agreement (CSA) to install the practices requested in this grant application. This assumes that the total local share has been accounted for through commitments from other eligible sources. If yes, check the box and go on to Question 4.
- OR
- ☐ ☐ b. A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have indicated, in writing, a general interest to participate in the project.
2. Funding Availability
- ☐ ☐ a. The total local share has been accounted for through commitments from other eligible sources.
- OR
- ☐ ☐ b. Some, but not all, of the local share has been accounted for through commitments from other eligible sources.

TRM Grant Application

Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)**Urban Projects:****A. Government**

- ☐ ☐ 1. a. The local-share funds for the construction/installation expenses are already included specifically in an adopted budget.
- ☐ ☐ OR
- ☐ ☐ b. The local-share funds for the construction/installation expenses are or will be included in a proposed budget.
- ☐ ☐ 2. The governmental unit has already conducted public information activities within the project area for this practice.

If yes, provide details.

B. Landowners

- ☐ ☐ 1. The governmental unit:
- ☐ ☐ a. already owns, or holds an easement for, the land on which the project is to be installed,
- ☐ ☐ OR
- ☐ ☐ b. has obtained and is submitting landowner commitment to sell or ease the necessary parcel.
- ☐ ☐ 2. The governmental unit is submitting evidence of local support for the project (such as letters from the neighborhood association, a civic group or an environmental organization voicing support).

Question 4. Basin Priorities (check one, A-H)

- ☐ A. Clean Water Act s. 303(d) List
Waterbody is included on the 303(d) List for nonpoint source reasons.
- ☐ B. Outstanding and Exceptional Resource Waters
Waterbody is included in s. NR 102.10 (Outstanding Resource Waters) and/or s. NR 102.11 (Exceptional Resource Waters).
- ☐ C. NPS Rankings
Project is located in a large-scale watershed, a small-scale watershed, lake watershed, or other area ranked high or medium on the NPS Rankings List, where the goals of the project are directly associated with the reason for the ranking on the NPS Rankings List.
- ☐ D. Amendment of the NPS Rankings List Using State of the Basin Reports
Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but information in a DNR State of the Basin report indicates a need to amend the NPS Rankings List because the stream, stream segment, or lake is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.
- ☐ E. Amendment of the NPS Rankings List Using Other Data Sources
Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but adequate data exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that that is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.
- ☐ F. Sources of Information for Areas Not Included in State of the Basin Reports
For some border waters, there is no State of the Basin report (i.e., along the Mississippi River or the Great Lakes). For these situations, another governmental document, accepted by the Regional NPS Coordinator, can be used to classify the resource as having a significant nonpoint source pollution impairment.
- ☐ G. Governmental Notices
The applicant has checked "Yes" to both parts of Part II, Question 3, A.1.
- ☐ H. Not Included in Other Categories Above

TRM Grant Project Name

Part III. Competitive Elements

Question 5. Water Quality Needs

The water quality category which best identifies the water quality goals for the project directly deals with: (check one)

Note: For border waters where a State of the Basin Report does not exist, another governmental document acceptable to the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may be used to identify the water quality need.

Surface Water Considerations

- ☐ A. 303(d) Listed Waterbody
A waterbody included on the 303(d) List for nonpoint source reasons.
- ☐ B. Not Fully Meeting Uses
A waterbody (lake or stream) identified in a DNR State of the Basin report as not meeting or partially meeting designated uses due to nonpoint sources, but is not on the 303(d) List.
- ☐ C. Threatened Waterbody
A waterbody (lake or stream) viewed as "threatened" by nonpoint sources in a DNR State of the Basin report.
- ☐ D. Outstanding or Exceptional Resource Waters
Prevention of degradation due to nonpoint sources of outstanding or exceptional resource waters or high quality, recreationally significant waters, but not including waters listed as "threatened."
- ☐ E. Surface Water Quality
Prevention of degradation of surface water quality due to nonpoint sources. Waters in this category are neither high quality, recreationally significant waters nor "threatened" waters.

Groundwater Considerations*

- ☐ F. Exceeds Groundwater Enforcement Standard
Groundwater within the project area where representative information indicates nitrate levels are predominantly greater than 10 mg/L or there are comparable levels for other NPS contaminants.
- ☐ G. Groundwater Quality
The project area is within a geological area defined in s. NR 151.015(18) as susceptible to groundwater contamination. See Attachment G.
- ☐ H. Exceeds Groundwater Preventive Action Limit
Groundwater within the project area where representative information indicates nitrate levels are predominantly greater than 2 mg/L but less than 10 mg/L or there are comparable levels for other NPS contaminants.

* Work with the regional drinking water and groundwater specialist or the county extension office.

Bonus Points:

Yes No

- ☐ ☐ Water quality goals relate to the control of nonpoint source contaminants in public drinking water supplies.
- 1. If yes, and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is groundwater, the project protects:
 - ☐ a. One wellhead
 - OR
 - ☐ b. More than one wellhead
- 2. If yes, and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is surface water, check the source water assessment area in which the project is located:

<u>Source Water Area (SWA)</u>	<u>Drinking Water System</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Pike River & Creek	Kenosha
<input type="checkbox"/> Root River	Racine
<input type="checkbox"/> Oak Creek	Oak Creek
<input type="checkbox"/> Oak Creek	South Milwaukee
<input type="checkbox"/> Milwaukee River	Cudahy
<input type="checkbox"/> Milwaukee River	Milwaukee
<input type="checkbox"/> Milwaukee River	North Shore
<input type="checkbox"/> Sauk Creek	Port Washington
<input type="checkbox"/> Sheboygan & Onion Rivers	Sheboygan
<input type="checkbox"/> Manitowoc River	Manitowoc
<input type="checkbox"/> Twin Rivers	Two Rivers

TRM Grant Application

Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name

Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

- | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Kewaunee & Ahnapee | Green Bay |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Menominee River | Marinette |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Fish Creek | Ashland |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | St. Louis & Nemadji River | Superior |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Lake Winnebago | Oshkosh |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Lake Winnebago | Appleton |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Lake Winnebago | Neenah |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Lake Winnebago | Menasha |

Question 6. Extent of Pollutant Control

- | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----|---|
| Yes | No | A. | State Priority: Performance Standards & Prohibitions |
| | | 1. | Indicate the performance standard(s) or prohibition(s) that is the focus of this project. (check all that apply) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | a. | Sheet, rill, and wind erosion. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | b. | Manure storage facilities. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | c. | Prevention of overflow from manure storage facilities. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | d. | Prevention of unconfined manure piles in water quality management areas (within 300 ft. of a stream, 1000 ft. of a lake, or areas where the groundwater is susceptible to contamination). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | e. | Prevention of direct runoff from a feedlot or stored manure into waters of the state. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | f. | Prevention of unlimited livestock access to waters of the state where high concentrations of animals prevent the maintenance of adequate sod cover or self-sustaining vegetation. |

If at least one item above is checked, continue to part A2, otherwise go to part B.

- | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. | There is information that identifies or ranks the importance of the project to the pollutant control goals of the sub-watershed, watershed or governmental unit. If yes, provide: |
| | | a. | Description of Document |
| | | b. | Name of document(s) |
| | | c. | Date(s) published |
| | | d. | Pertinent page numbers |
| | | e. | A copy of non-state document(s) is available: |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | At this website: <u>http://</u> |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | Attached to this application form. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contact this person: <u>Name:</u> <u>Phone:</u> |

Go to Question 7.

- | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----|---|
| | | B. | Local Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. | The proposed project addresses a local water resources management priority. |
| | | | If yes, describe how the project addresses the local priority. |

TRM Grant Application

Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name

Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

- ☐ ☐ 2. There is information that identifies or ranks the importance of the project to the pollutant control goals of the sub-watershed, watershed or governmental unit. If yes, provide:

a. Description of Document

b. Name of document(s)

c. Date(s) published

d. Pertinent page numbers

e. A copy of non-state document(s) is available:

☐ At this website: http://

☐ Attached to this application form.

☐ Contact this person: Name: Phone:

Question 7. Plans and Regulations

Yes No NA Consistency with resource management plans

- ☐ ☐ ☐ The project implements a water quality recommendation from a locally approved resource management plan.

Summarize the water quality recommendation. Cite the name and date(s) of publication of the document.

Question 8. Use of Additional Funding

Yes No NA (Exclude appraisal costs when answering this question.)

☐ ☐ ☐ A. The state share is below the \$150,000 cap.

☐ ☐ ☐ B. Funding requested is below the maximum allowable cost-share rate.

Question 9. City of Racine

Yes No NA

- ☐ ☐ ☐ This is an application from the City of Racine for a project that is necessary for the city to comply with state storm water permitting requirements.

TRM Grant Project Name

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers

Completion of this part of the application is optional. However, an applicant can increase the final project score by qualifying for a project multiplier.

Copies of ordinances for which credit is taken in this question are

- ☐ Found at this website: http://
- ☐ Attached to this application form.
- ☐ Already submitted with another application.

Agricultural Projects (select all that are in place as of the application submittal date)

A. Local Implementation Program (factor .1) (check all that apply)

Check activities listed below that are part of the local program to implement agricultural performance standards and prohibitions contained in ch. NR 151. Check all activities that apply. An activity may be checked "Yes" if either of the following is true:

- The activity is currently assigned to the applicant, or another local unit of government, in an approved Land and Water Resources Management Plan (LWRMP), an updated LWRMP work plan or an inter-governmental agreement with the Department of Natural Resources. List the document and page number where the activity is addressed.
- The activity is not currently assigned in one of these documents, but the applicant describes, in the space provided below, who will conduct the activity.

Yes	No		Document	Page Number
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. Inform and educate landowners/operators about performance standards and prohibitions.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. Conduct compliance status surveys, including on-site visits, for croplands and livestock facilities and convey compliance status to landowners/operators.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Discuss with landowners/operators the best management practices needed to achieve compliance with performance standards and prohibitions.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. Seek financial assistance for landowners/operators to achieve compliance with performance standards & prohibitions.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Develop cost-share agreements with landowners/operators and provide them with technical assistance to achieve compliance with performance standards & prohibitions.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. Track compliance status of croplands and livestock facilities and provide compliance status information to the Department of Natural Resources upon request. This includes notifying DNR when a landowner/operator does not comply with a notice issued under NR 151.09 or NR 151.095.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	7. Provide assistance to the Department of Natural Resources to issue notices under NR 151.09 and NR 151.095.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	8. In situations where local regulations do not require compliance with a performance standard or prohibition, refer cases of non-compliance to the local district attorney when requested to do so by the Department of Natural Resources.		

If an item checked above is not covered by a LWRMP, an updated LWRMP work plan or an IGA with DNR, list the activity and identify who will carry it out.

If all items above are checked "Yes," go on to part B. Otherwise, stop here.

TRM Grant Application

Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)**B. Local Enforcement Program – Scope of Local Regulations (factor .15) (check all that apply)**

The are ten agricultural performance standards and prohibitions included in chapter NR 151 are listed below. For each of these performance standards and prohibitions, determine if a local regulation currently exists. If a local regulation exists, check the appropriate column based on whether the local regulation provides full coverage or partial coverage of the state standard. Definitions and examples of Full Coverage and Partial Coverage are provided in the Instructions.

Full Coverage	Partial Coverage				Wis. Adm. Code
	Effective in all of the WQMA	Not Effective in all of the WQMA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.	Sheet, rill and wind erosion	NR 151.02
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.	Manure Storage Facilities: New/ Significant Alterations	NR 151.05(2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.	Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	NR 151.05(3))
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4.	Manure Storage Facilities: Existing Failing/Leaking	NR 151.05(4))
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.	Clean Water Diversions	NR 151.06
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6.	Nutrient Management	NR 151.07
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	7.	Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	NR 151.08(2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	8.	Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile	NR 151.08(3)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.	Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	NR 151.08(4)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	10.	Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	NR 151.08(5)

Urban Projects (select all that are in place as of the application submittal date)

Yes	No	A.	Local Implementation Program (factor .1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.	Implement a construction site erosion control ordinance consistent with the performance standards and applicability requirements of s. NR 151.11.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.	Implement a pollution prevention information and education program targeted at residents, including property owners.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.	Implement nutrient management for municipally owned properties where nutrients are applied to at least five acres. (You may check "Yes" if this item does not apply.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4.	Track, evaluate and report to DNR the status of erosion control and storm water permit activity.

If all items above are checked "Yes," go on to part B. Otherwise, stop here.

Yes	No	B.	Local Enforcement Program (factor .15)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.	There is a storm water management ordinance in effect for new development and re-development in the project area.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.	The local regulation requires a written storm water plan.

If items B.1. and B.2. are both checked "Yes," go on to item B.3. Otherwise, stop here.

3. Check the box next to any of the listed non-agricultural standards if there is a local regulation currently in place that requires compliance with that performance standard. (An item may be checked "Yes" only if the minimum applicability requirements of NR 151.12 are met.) (check all that apply)

Yes	No		Wis. Adm. Code
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	a. Reduce total suspended solids per	NR 151.12(5)(a)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	b. Reduce peak flow discharge per	NR 151.12(5)(b)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	c. Achieve infiltration per	NR 151.12(5)(c)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	d. Protect riparian areas per	NR 151.12(5)(d)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	e. Manage fueling and vehicle maintenance areas per	NR 151.12(5)(e)

TRM Grant Project Name

Optional Additional Information

Carefully review the answers to all of the questions above. Is there additional information that will add to the understanding of this project? If so, describe here.

Applicant Certification

An Authorized Representative must sign and date the application form prior to submittal to the DNR. All four copies must include the Authorized Representative's signature.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this application and attachments is correct and true.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Date Signed

[name and title, etc.]

Telephone Number

Fax Number

E-Mail Address

Mailing Address

To be considered for funding, submit four printed and signed copies of a completed application form [DNR Form 8700-300 (R 1/05)] postmarked by midnight on April 15, 2005. Mail grant application and attachments to:

Department of Natural Resources
Attn: Kathy Thompson - WT/2
P.O. Box 7921
Madison WI 53707-7921

Electronic submissions will not be accepted.